

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Unded States Pateni and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O.B. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22/13-1450 www.uspio.jov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814.603 03/31/2004		Shinsaku Higashi	02008/105002	5472
Johathan P. Osl	7590 03/07/2007		EXAM	INER
Osha Novak & May L.L.P. Suite 2800 1221 McKinney St. Houston, TX 77010			JACOB, MARY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2123	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/814,603	HIGASHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mary C. Jacob	2123		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE MODERN OF THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE MODERN	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 f 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of References Cited (F10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 have been presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12, drawn to a test emulator including a test module emulation section for emulating a plurality of test modules generating a test signal, classified in class 703, subclass 23.
 - II. Claims 13-14, drawn to a test apparatus, classified in class 702, subclass117.
 - III. Claims 15-17, drawn to a test emulator including a test module emulation section that outputs a variation of voltage of a test signal by calling a voltage setting method of an output channel object, classified in class 703, subclass 23.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions (I and III) and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be

shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are the "test emulator" of Groups I and III, classified in 703, subclass 23 and the "test module" that determines whether a "real test" or

"simulation test" is to be selected for a test of a device under test, of Group II, classified in class 702, subclass 117.

Inventions I and III are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are directed to test emulators including a "test module emulation section". The "test module emulation section" in Claims 15-17, however, is capable of outputting a "variation of voltage" by calling a "voltage setting method of an output channel object", (described by section B.3.3 and Figures 22 and 23 on page 67 of the specification, and described as an additional configuration of the test emulation section on page 42, lines 18-30 of the specification), which is not required for the "test module emulation section" of Claims 1-12. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,603 Page 4

Art Unit: 2123

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2123

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary C. Jacob whose telephone number is 571-272-6249. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mary C. Jacob Examiner AU2123

MCJ 2/28/07 ZOILA CABRERA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
3 /1 /0 7